25X1A

8 Harch 1950

SUBJECT:

Reference is made to the series of articles starting March 6, 1950 in the New York Post under the name of Edward P. Daniel entitled "Washington Nightmare, Super-Secret CIA Charge and Trial". It was indicated that this would constitute a series of three articles.

The Subject of this case was recruited for a highly sensitive position abroad. Subject was informed that he could not be given a permanent appointment until he was fully investigated; however, in order to allow him to take a language course and certain basic training pertinent to his assignment, he was informed that he could be given a temporary appointment without access to classified information. He understood that his permanent appointment was subject to investigation to determine whether or not he could meet the security standards required by this Agency. In addition, this individual had previously worked for another Government agency. However, the investigative report of that agency was not only insemplete in accordance with our standards but further contained some information which required clarification. It is the standard requirement of this Agency that all employees must be completely investigated before they are granted permanent appointments with access to classified information.

In this instant case, upon receipt of the full investigative report it appeared that this individual, because of ideological sympathies of mambers of his immediate family and of certain of his associates, might well be a security risk, particularly in view of his proposed sensitive assignment. In the hope of clearing such doubts of security risk the Subject was interviewed and was offered the opportunity to participate voluntarily in a current research project utilizing the polygraph. However, despite the interview and the polygraph test, it still appeared that Subject did not meet the security criteria of this agency. For the protection of the employees it is better to allow them to resign than to have a termination of temporary appointment appear on their official records. The individual in question voluntarily resigned his temporary position with this Agency.

This Agency had a considerable financial investment in this temporary employee, and it was hoped that the security doubts could be resolved and the services of this employee utilized. However, this employee failed to meet our security criteria and accordingly was permitted to resign.